



183 Wind Chime Ct., Suite 203, Raleigh, NC 27615
919.459.2081

Electronic Notary: How Does It Impact Recording?

Updated and Approved by the PRIA Board of Directors
January 21, 2026

www.pria.us

PROPERTY RECORDS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION

Copyright Notice, License, Disclaimer

For

PRIA Completed Work Product

January 2026

A. **COPYRIGHT NOTICE:** Copyright © 2026 – Property Records Industry Association (“PRIA”). All rights reserved.

B. **LICENSE:** This completed PRIA work product document (the “Completed Work”) is made available by PRIA to members and the general public for review, evaluation and comment only. This document is under development and not a final version.

PRIA grants any user (“Licensee”) of the Completed Work a worldwide, royalty-free, non-exclusive license (“License”) to reproduce the Completed Work in copies, and to use the Completed Work and all such reproductions solely for purposes of reviewing, evaluating and commenting upon the Completed Work. NO OTHER RIGHTS ARE GRANTED UNDER THIS LICENSE AND ALL OTHER RIGHTS ARE EXPRESSLY RESERVED TO PRIA. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, PRIA does not grant any right to: (i) prepare proprietary derivative works based upon the Completed Work, (ii) distribute copies of the Incomplete Work to the public by sale or other transfer of ownership, or (iii) display the Completed Work publicly. Comments on the Completed Work must be sent to PRIA.

Any reproduction of the Completed Work shall reproduce verbatim the above copyright notice, the entire text of this License and the entire disclaimer below under the following header:

This document includes Completed Works developed by PRIA and some of its contributors, subject to PRIA License. “PRIA” is a trade name of the “Property Records Industry Association.” No reference to PRIA or any of its trademarks by Licensee shall imply endorsement of Licensee's activities and products.

C. **DISCLAIMER:** THIS COMPLETED WORK IS PROVIDED “AS IS.” PRIA AND THE AUTHORS OF THIS INCOMPLETE WORK MAKE NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES (i) EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, TITLE OR NON-INFRINGEMENT; (ii) THAT THE CONTENTS OF SUCH COMPLETED WORK ARE FREE FROM ERROR OR SUITABLE FOR ANY PURPOSE; AND, (iii) THAT IMPLEMENTATION OF SUCH CONTENTS WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY THIRD-PARTY PATENTS, COPYRIGHTS, TRADEMARKS OR OTHER RIGHTS. IN NO EVENT WILL PRIA OR ANY AUTHOR OF THIS COMPLETED WORK BE LIABLE TO ANY PARTY FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES FOR ANY USE OF THIS COMPLETED WORK, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY LOST PROFITS, BUSINESS INTERRUPTION, LOSS OF PROGRAMS OR OTHER DATA ON ANY INFORMATION HANDLING SYSTEM OR OTHERWISE, EVEN IF PRIA OR THE AUTHORS OR ANY STANDARD-SETTING BODY CONTRIBUTORS TO THIS COMPLETED WORK ARE EXPRESSLY ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

Table of Contents

A Brief Overview4

Recording: Notice and Priority.....4

The Recorder: A Relying Party4

Electronic Records and Signatures5

Interstate Recognition5

If Hamlet Was a Recorder5

A Brief Overview

The federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (ESIGN), adopted by Congress in 2000, permits notaries to use electronic signatures when notarizing electronic documents. The Uniform Law Commission recommended similar authorization to the states in three of its model acts: the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act (UETA), the Uniform Real Property Electronic Recording Act (URPERA) and the Revised Uniform Law on Notarial Acts (RULONA). The Uniform Law Commission also authorizes remote online notarization (RON), which adds an electronic aspect to the personal appearance requirement of notarial law, in addition to the electronic signature elements of [RULONA](#).

How does this legislation interact with recording statutes that often imply a wet-ink signature is required on an original paper document? What can a recorder accept for recording? While it seems complicated, this paper demonstrates it is actually straightforward when considered in the context of the recorder's role in a real estate transaction.

Recording: Notice and Priority

The intent of recording statutes across the United States is to provide constructive notice of interests in real property and to establish the priority of those interests. Placing a document "on record" does not imbue it with some mystical legal quality it does not otherwise contain. It simply lets the world know that Party A is asserting they have an interest in a specific parcel of real property. If challenged, the interest asserted by Party A may be upheld by a court, or it may be set aside for a variety of reasons. However, everyone has been made aware of the claim by recordation in the land records.

Because of the importance of timely notice, recording statutes typically set minimal requirements. The parties and the real property must be identified. Formatting requirements are imposed according to state statutes to assist the recorder in locating key information, which is then used to index the document for searching and retrieval. Typically, the document must be notarized to be recorded.

The other key goal of the recording process is to establish the priority of interests. Who has the superior position when there are disagreements between the parties about who is paid first? This position is what is referred to as "race (to record) notice." Each state has some form of priority statute. While the specifics vary, they are all founded on the order of recording. The most common type of priority statute is "race (to record) notice," meaning, between two competing interests, the one recorded first wins, as long as there was no notice of the competing interest.

The Recorder: A Relying Party

Notarization actually plays an important role in both notice and priority. Notarized documents are considered "self-authenticating." The notarial act allows interested parties to rely on these documents at face value – they require no additional supporting evidence, unless they are challenged. In this context, if a completed notarial certificate is included as part of the document, the recorder can proceed with the recording process speeding the notice and helping to maintain priority.

While documents are required to be notarized for recording, the recorder has no enforcement authority over the notary or notarial act; that is the role of the notary regulator, typically the Secretary of State. It is the role of the recorder to record the document and the role of the court to resolve any challenges to the notarial act.

Electronic Records and Signatures

With the adoption of the laws referenced at the beginning of this paper, recorders moved from review of paper documents with wet-ink signatures to accepting electronic records and signatures. Perhaps the most important thing for recorders to remember about UETA and URPERA is that neither of these acts declares an electronic record an “original” in the sense it is represented by a tangible, paper document. Instead, these laws definitively state if a law requires a “writing” or a “signature,” an electronic record or an electronic signature satisfies the requirement of the law and is enforceable. Additionally, both acts authorize notaries to use electronic signatures to notarize electronic records.

Interstate Recognition

Long before electronic commerce laws were developed, many states enacted interstate commerce laws giving equal recognition to the notarial acts of every other [state](#) (click to view the interstate notarial recognition laws by state). These interstate recognition laws are designed to aid the recording process by officially recognizing notarial acts performed by notaries of other states without requiring the recorder to know the specifics of every other state’s notary laws. Thus, in states with interstate recognition laws, a recorder can generally rely on the notarized document at face value to record the document and provide notice of an interest in a timely and efficient manner.

If Hamlet Was a Recorder

To record, or not to record, that is the question. When it comes to notarized documents, the recorder is relying in good faith on the act of a commissioned notary public. Taking into account the importance of the notice and priority functions of the recorder’s office, PRIA recommends erring toward the side of recording, if a document contains a completed notarial certificate. While PRIA does not support a cavalier approach which would disregard all recording requirements, the potential damages caused by taking on the role of notary enforcement undermines the key public policy aims of providing notice and establishing priority of claims.